


6.3 Licht und Materie - Korpuskel und Welle

1] Licht als EM Welle

Wellenlängen des Lichtes gezeigt durch Interferenz:



EM Welle:

Monochromatisch

Interferenzmaxima für $\pm \sin \theta_n = \frac{n \cdot \lambda}{d}$; $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

" Minima $\pm \sin \theta_n = \frac{(2n+1) \lambda}{2d}$


Bestimmung der Wellenlänge:

$$\lambda \approx \frac{x_n \cdot d}{L \cdot n}$$

2J Licht als Korpuskel (Quantum)


Beobachtung durch Photoeffekt

(H. Hertz 1887, später Hallsachs, Lenard)



Beobachtung:

- Strom I durch freigesetzte e^-
 $I \propto \text{Int. des Lichtes}$
- Erfließt auch Strom, wenn Spannung umgekehrt



Schlussfolgerung:

Je kleiner Wellenlänge, desto
größer Energie der freigesetzten Elektronen

\Rightarrow Licht überträgt Energie, Impuls

Planck 1900, Einstein 1905

$$E_{\gamma} \propto \nu$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$= h \cdot \nu$$

$$= h \omega$$

Energie pro Photon

h Plancksches
Wirkungsquantum
 $6,6 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$

Konsequenz: Photonen haben "Masse"
 $m = \frac{h \cdot \nu}{c^2} \quad (E = mc^2)$

$$\text{Bew.: } h \equiv \frac{h}{2\pi}$$

3] Materie als Welle

- Dualismus Korpuskel - Welle beim Licht : $mc = \frac{h\nu}{c} = \frac{h}{\lambda}$
Impuls

- Voraussetzung : auch bei Materie Dualismus

de Broglie (1924) : $m \cdot v = \frac{h}{\lambda}$
(de Broe)

Alle Körper mit Impuls mv besitzen Welleneigenschaften mit

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{mv}$$

- Konsequenz :
- Interferenzeffekte von Teilchen
 - Ungenauigkeit bei Orts- und Impulsbestimmung
 $\Delta p \cdot \Delta x \geq h$

Letters to the Editor.

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Neither can he undertake to return, nor to correspond with the writers of, rejected manuscripts intended for this or any other part of NATURE. No notice is taken of anonymous communications.]

The Scattering of Electrons by a Single Crystal of Nickel.

IN a series of experiments now in progress, we are directing a narrow beam of electrons normally against a target cut from a single crystal of nickel, and are measuring the intensity of scattering (number of electrons per unit solid angle with speeds near that of the bombarding electrons) in various directions in front of the target. The experimental arrangement is such that the intensity of scattering can be measured




FIG. 1.—Intensity of electron scattering vs. co-latitude angle for various bombarding voltages—azimuth-111-330°.

in any latitude from the equator (plane of the target) to within 20° of the pole (incident beam) and in any azimuth.

The face of the target is cut parallel to a set of {111}-planes of the crystal lattice, and etching by vaporisation has been employed to develop its surface into {111}-facets. The bombardment covers an area of about 2 mm.² and is normal to these facets.

As viewed along the incident beam the arrangement of atoms in the crystal exhibits a threefold symmetry. Three {100}-normals equally spaced in azimuth emerge from the crystal in latitude 35°, and, midway in azimuth between these, three {111}-normals emerge in latitude 20°. It will be convenient to refer to the azimuth of any one of the {100}-normals as a {100}-azimuth, and to that of any one of the {111}-normals as a {111}-azimuth. A third set of azimuths must also be specified; this bisects the dihedral angle between adjacent {100}- and {111}-azimuths and includes a {110}-normal lying in the plane of the

target. There are six such azimuths, and any one of these will be referred to as a {110}-azimuth. It follows from considerations of symmetry that if the intensity of scattering exhibit a dependence upon azimuth as we pass from a {100}-azimuth to the next adjacent {111}-azimuth (60°), the same dependence must be exhibited in the reverse order as we continue on through 60° to the next following {100}-azimuth. Dependence on azimuth must be an even function of period 2π/3.

In general, if bombarding potential and azimuth are fixed and exploration is made in latitude, nothing very striking is observed. The intensity of scattering increases continuously and regularly from zero in the plane of the target to a highest value in co-latitude 20°, the limit of observations. If bombarding potential and co-latitude are fixed and exploration is made in azimuth, a variation in the intensity of scattering of the type to be expected is always observed, but in general this variation is slight, amounting in some cases to not more than a few per cent. of the average intensity. This is the nature of the scattering for bombarding potentials in the range from 15 volts to near 40 volts.

At 40 volts a slight hump appears near 60° in the co-latitude curve for azimuth-111. This hump develops rapidly with increasing voltage into a strong spur, at the same time moving slowly upward toward the incident beam. It attains a maximum intensity in co-latitude 50° for a bombarding potential of 54 volts, then decreases in intensity, and disappears in co-latitude 45° at about 66 volts. The growth and decay of this spur are traced in Fig. 1.

A section in azimuth through this spur at its maximum (Fig. 2—Azimuth-330°) shows that it is sharp in azimuth as well as in latitude, and that it forms one of a set of three such spurs, as was to be expected. The width of these spurs both in latitude and in azimuth is almost completely accounted for by the low resolving power of the measuring device. The spurs are due to beams of scattered electrons which are nearly if not quite as well defined as the primary beam. The minor peaks occurring in the {100}-azimuth are sections of a similar set of spurs that attains its maximum development in co-latitude 44° for a bombarding potential of 65 volts.

Thirteen sets of beams similar to the one just described have been discovered in an exploration in the principal azimuths covering a voltage range from 15 volts to 200 volts. The data for these are set down on the left in Table I. (columns 1-4). Small corrections have been applied to the observed co-latitude angles to allow for the variation with angle of the 'background scattering,' and for a small angular displacement of the normal to the facets from the incident beam.

If the incident electron beam were replaced by a beam of monochromatic X-rays of adjustable wavelength, very similar phenomena would, of course, be observed. At particular values of wavelength, sets of three or of six diffraction beams would emerge from the incident side of the target. On the right in Table I. (columns 5, 6 and 7) are set down data for the ten sets of X-ray beams of similar wavelength which would occur within the angular range of our observations. Each of these first ten occurs in one of our three principal azimuths.

Several points of correlation will be noted between the two sets of data. Two points of difference will also be noted; the co-latitude angles of the electron beams are not those of the X-ray beams, and the three electron beams listed at the end of the Table appear to have no X-ray analogues.

The first of these differences is systematic and may

Beobachtung von Beugung von e^- am atomaren Gitter. Effekt besonders stark bei 54 eV.

4] EM Wellen im Vakuum

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = c^2 \cdot \Delta \psi(\vec{r}, t)$$

Wellengleichung in 3d.

Allgemeine Lösung:

$$\psi(\vec{r}, t) = \int d^3k a(\vec{k}) e^{i(\vec{k}\vec{r} - \omega t)}$$

$$\rightarrow (-i\omega)^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t) = c^2 \cdot (i\vec{k})^2 \psi(\vec{r}, t)$$

$$\rightarrow \omega^2 = c^2 k^2$$

$$\boxed{\omega = c \cdot k}$$

Dispersionsgleichung
für EM Wellen
im Vakuum.

5] Materiewellen im Vakuum

$$\text{de Broglie : } \lambda = \frac{h}{mv}$$

$$\hat{=} v = \frac{h}{m} \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{h}{m} k$$

$$= \text{Gruppeneschw. } \frac{d\omega}{dk}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega(k) = \omega_0 + \frac{h}{2m} k^2$$

Dispersionsgleichung für Materiewellen

Wellengleichung für Materie :


$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\vec{r}, t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \psi(\vec{r}, t)$$

Schwingungsgleichung.

Allg. Lösung :

$$\psi(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int d^3k \cdot a(\vec{k}) e^{i(\vec{k}\vec{r} - \omega t)}$$

$$\text{eingesetzt in Schw.} \rightarrow \omega = \frac{\hbar}{2m} k^2$$



Schwingungsgleichung nicht relativistisch korrekert; mehrere Klein-Gordongleichung:

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta + \left(\frac{m_0 c}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right) \psi(\vec{r}, t) = 0$$

setze wieder $\psi(\vec{r}, t) = e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)}$ ein,

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\omega}{c} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{m_0 c}{\hbar} \right)^2 + k^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega(k) = c \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{m_0 c}{\hbar} \right)^2 + k^2}$$

Dispersionsrelation für relativ. Materiewellen

Sonderfälle:

$$a) \quad k \ll \frac{\omega_0 c}{t_1} \quad (\text{n. relativistisch})$$

$$(v \ll c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega \approx c \cdot \frac{\omega_0 c}{t_1} + \frac{c}{2 \frac{\omega_0 c}{t_1}} k^2$$

$$\approx \omega_0 + \frac{t_1}{2m} k^2 \quad (\text{Schw\u00e4rzung})$$

$$b) \quad k \gg \frac{\omega_0 c}{t_1} \quad (\text{relativistisch})$$

$$(v \approx c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega = c \cdot k$$

(wie bei EM Wellen)

$$c) \quad v = \frac{d\omega}{dk} = c \cdot \frac{k}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega_0 c}{t_1}\right)^2 + k^2}}$$

$$\approx \frac{t_1 \cdot k}{m} \quad \text{f\u00fcr } k \ll \frac{\omega_0 c}{t_1}$$

$$\approx c \quad \text{f\u00fcr } k \gg \frac{\omega_0 c}{t_1}$$

Lösung der Materiewellengleichung: $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$ Wahrsch.-amplitude;

$|\psi|^2$: Aufenthaltswahrscheinlichkeit

